Data Source: 2010 Census

District	2010 Pop	Ideal Pop	Ideal +/-	% +/-
1	450,497	450,497	0	0.00%
2	450,496	450,497	-1	0.00%

							Tota	al Popula	tion by R	ace									Total	Population	on by Eth	nicity	•
District	Total	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	A/PI	% A/PI	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	MR Black	% MR Black	Total Black	Total % Black	Hisp	% Hisp	Non Hisp	% Non Hisp	White Non Hisp	% White Non Hisp
1	450,497	249,676	55.42%	132,320	29.37%	2,520	0.56%	25,252	5.61%	28,341	6.29%	12,388	2.75%	6,407	1.42%	138,727	30.79%	56,226	12.48%	394,271	87.52%	228,535	50.73%
2	450,496	347,870	77.22%	54,190	12.03%	1,983	0.44%	23,688	5.26%	12,587	2.79%	10,178	2.26%	4,161	0.92%	58,351	12.95%	31,696	7.04%	418,800	92.96%	332,001	73.70%
			0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
Totals:	900.993	597.546	66.32%	186.510	20.70%	4.503	0.50%	48.940	5.43%	40.928	4.54%	22.566	2.50%	10.568	1.17%	197.078	21.87%	87.922	9.76%	813.071	90.24%	560.536	62.21%

666,380

457,775

68.70%

133,196

19.99%

3,055

0.46%

35,514

5.33%

25,793

Totals:

65.13%

							Voting	Age Pop	ulation b	y Race									Total	Population	on by Eth	nicity	
District	Total	White	% White	Black	% Black	NA	% NA	A/PI	% A/PI	Other	% Other	MR	% MR	MR Black	% MR Black	Total Black	% Total Black	Hisp	% Hisp	Non Hisp	% Non Hisp	White Non Hisp	% White Non Hisp
1	346,442	205,591	59.34%	94,949	27.41%	1,730	0.50%	19,234	5.55%	18,129	5.23%	6,809	1.97%	3,056	0.88%	98,005	28.29%	36,196	10.45%	310,246	89.55%	191,504	55.28%
2	319,938	252,184	78.82%	38,247	11.95%	1,325	0.41%	16,280	5.09%	7,664	2.40%	4,238	1.32%	1,479	0.46%	39,726	12.42%	18,966	5.93%	300,972	94.07%	242,504	75.80%
			0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%

11,047

1.66%

4,535

0.68%

137,731

20.67%

55,162

8.28%

611,218

91.72%

434,008

3.87%

									Registration	on by Party	1							Registrati	on by Race	Without F	Regard to
				Racial %s	among D's				Racial %s	among R's				Racial %s	among U's				Pai	rty	
			White % of	Black % of		Other % of		White % of	Black % of		Other % of		White % of	Black % of		Other % of					
District	VR Total	% D	D	D	NA % of D	D	% R	R	R	NA % of R	R	% U	U	U	NA % of U	U	% L	% White	% Black	% NA	% Other
1	278,712	50.31%	44.09%	48.57%	0.27%	7.07%	22.72%	92.17%	2.92%	0.17%	4.74%	26.73%	69.99%	15.30%	0.25%	14.46%	0.23%	62.02%	29.21%	0.24%	8.53%
2	296,448	34.02%	65.02%	28.05%	0.26%	6.66%	37.53%	95.53%	0.99%	0.13%	3.35%	28.26%	83.29%	5.81%	0.20%	10.70%	0.19%	81.68%	11.56%	0.19%	6.57%

Totals: 575,160	41.92%	52.85%	39.99%	0.26%	6.90%	30.35%	94.31%	1.69%	0.15%	3.85%	27.52%	77.03%	10.28%	0.22%	12.47%	0.21%	72.15%	20.11%	0.22%	7.52%

575,160 265,058 46.08%

305,939 53.19%

4,163

0.72%

575,160

66,520

11.57%

Totals:

121,090 21.05%

			Voter Re	gistration b	y Gender						Voter F	Registration	by Age						Voter Re	gistration by	Ethnicity		
District	Total	Male	Male %	Female	Female %	Und	des.	Total	18-25	18-25 %	26-40	26-40 %	41-65	41-65 %	66+	66+ %	Total	Hispanic	Hisp %	Non-Hisp	Non H %	Undesign.	Undes. %
1	278,779	125,794	45.12%	150,389	53.95%	2,596	0.93%	278,757	37,849	13.58%	98,240	35.24%	110,202	39.53%	32,466	11.65%	278,773	5,650	2.03%	203,773	73.10%	69,350	24.88%
2	296,381	139,264	46.99%	155,550	52.48%	1,567	0.53%	296,403	28,671	9.67%	81,064	27.35%	152,072	51.31%	34,596	11.67%	296,387	4,341	1.46%	240,306	81.08%	51,740	17.46%
	0		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%

179,304 31.17%

262,274 45.60%

67,062

11.66%

575,160

9,991

1.74%

444,079 77.21%

	200	04 Auditor Ca	ampbell-Mer	ritt		2004 G	vernor Eas	ley-Ballantin	e-Howe				2004 F	resident Ke	ry-Bush-Ba	adnarik					2004 l	JS Senate B	owles-Burr-	Bailey		
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %
1	66,305	60.34%	43,572	39.66%	74,412	64.91%	38,034	33.18%	2,191	1.91%	64,316	56.25%	49,342	43.15%	570	0.50%	119	0.10%	66,664	58.98%	44,732	39.58%	1,630	1.44%	0	0.00%
2	49,562	41.61%	69,537	58.39%	64,107	51.23%	59,224	47.33%	1,811	1.45%	46,861	37.50%	77,502	62.02%	511	0.41%	85	0.07%	50,204	40.70%	71,511	57.97%	1,642	1.33%	0	0.00%
		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
Election data	is estimated si	ince it is collect	ed at the prec	nct level and c	listricts are cre	ated at the cer	nsus block lev	el.																		
Totals:	115,867	50.60%	113,109	49.40%	138,519	57.77%	97,258	40.56%	4,002	1.67%	111,177	46.46%	126,844	53.00%	1,081	0.45%	204	0.09%	116,868	49.44%	116,243	49.18%	3,272	1.38%	0	0.00%

	20	008 A. G. Co	oper-Crumle	ey	200	8 Comm. Ag	Ansley-Tro	xler	2008 (	Comm. of La	bor Donnan	-Berry	200	3 State Audi	tor Wood-M	errit			2008 Con	nm. of Insur	ance Goodv	vin-Odom			2008 9	Super.of P.I.	Atkinson-M	lorgan
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %
1	154,982	75.64%	49,908	24.36%	118,365	58.62%	83,567	41.38%	122,983	61.04%	78,505	38.96%	127,660	63.55%	73,227	36.45%	129,185	64.05%	64,378	31.92%	8,009	3.97%	127	0.06%	137,231	68.38%	63,454	31.62%
2	133,397	59.87%	89,413	40.13%	83,708	38.18%	135,512	61.82%	89,466	40.99%	128,785	59.01%	95,629	43.88%	122,311	56.12%	97,552	44.76%	110,713	50.80%	9,542	4.38%	143	0.07%	106,876	49.14%	110,635	50.86%
		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%
		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	ı	

270

0.06% 244,107 58.37% 174,089 41.63%

Totals: 288,379 67.43% 139,321 32.57% 202,073 47.98% 219,079 52.02% 212,449 50.61% 207,290 49.39% 223,289 53.31% 195,538 46.69% 226,737 54.03% 175,091 41.72% 17,551 4.18%

North Carolina General Assembly

Elections 2008 Results - 2

Wake At Large New

	T	2008 Lt. 0	Sovernor Da	Iton-Pittenge	er-Rhodes			2008 G	vernor Pero	due-McCrory-	·Munger				2008	President Ob	ama-McCai	in-Barr				20	008 US Sena	te Hagan-Do	le				2008 Stra	night Party		
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib	Lib %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Other	Other %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib.	Lib%
1	130,724	63.82%	66,794	32.61%	7,322	3.57%	127,180	60.73%	74,233	35.45%	7,997	3.82%	142,138	67.12%	67,612	31.93%	1,294	0.61%	722	0.34%	138,040	65.81%	65,672	31.31%	6,031	2.88%	79,485	72.63%	29,125	26.61%	834	0.76%
2	98,111	44.04%	116,686	52.38%	7,957	3.57%	96,852	42.41%	123,011	53.87%	8,489	3.72%	108,753	47.19%	119,389	51.80%	1,637	0.71%	700	0.30%	107,734	47.12%	113,756	49.76%	7,125	3.12%	49,831	47.31%	54,745	51.97%	759	0.72%
		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%

Totals: 228,835 53.52% 183,480 42.91% 15,279 3.57% 224,032 51.18% 197,244 45.06% 16,486 3.77% 250,891 56.73% 187,001 42.28% 2,931 0.66% 1,422 0.32% 245,774 56.07% 179,428 40.93% 13,156 3.00% 129,316 60.21% 83,870 39.05% 1,593 0.74%

## Wake At Large New

			2010 Stra	ight Party				201	0 US Senate	e Marshall-B	Burr	
District	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Lib.	Lib %	Dem	Dem %	Rep	Rep %	Other	Other %
1	43,015	66.43%	21,431	33.10%	310	0.48%	75,171	59.94%	47,327	37.74%	2,910	2.32%
2	28,854	39.85%	43,245	59.72%	316	0.44%	58,153	38.60%	88,805	58.94%	3,716	2.47%
		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%		0.00%	0	0.00%

Totals:	71,869	52.39%	64,676	47.15%	626	0.46%	133,324	48.29%	136,132	49.31%	6,626	2.40%